AGITATE! EDUCATE! ORGANIZE!

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

WEEKLY NEWS LETTER

Entered as second-class matter, September 26, 1912, at the Post-office at Washington, D. C., under the act of August 24, 1912.

HELP THE MINERS.

Local unions are urged to give prompt financial aid to the striking coal miners of Colorado.

VOL. 4. NO. 23 — WASHINGTON, D. C., SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1914. — WHOLE NO. 179

SENATE PASSES THE CLAYTON ANTI-TRUST BILL

SEAMEN'S BILL IS PASSED BY HOUSE

Adopts Substitute for La Follette Bill, Approved by Senate Last October.

SAILORS' RIGHT TO LEAVE SHIP CONCEDED AT LAST

Employment System, Known as "Crimping," Prohibited On All American Vessels.

Washington, Sept. 5.—The amended substitute for the Seamen's bill, that passed the Senate last October, where it was known as the La Follette bill, has passed the House of Representatives.

The bill was sent to a conference, consisting of three Representatives and three Senators, for the purpose of adjusting differences between the two legislative branches, but later the Senate referred the bill to its own committee for further study and consideration.

The bill accords the seamen a new status by providing for the abrogation of all treaties under which seamen have been arrested, detained and delivered to their vessels here in the ports of the United States.

It provides that 75 per cent of the crew in each department of the vessel must understand the orders of the officers and it determines that in order to be an able seaman at sea a man must first have had three years' experience, and on lakes, bays, and sounds 24 months' experience, which 24 months will be counted into the three years of sea service on the ocean. In these provisions the La Follette Seaman's bill and the House substitute agree substantially. The substitute provides that foreign seamen in ports of the United States shall be entitled to one-half of the money earned, but it is in such shape that it will be very difficult of enforcement.

The provision in the bill abolishing the "crimping system" by prohibiting advance money, upon which the "crimping system" really feeds, is made absolute on American vessels and on the vessels of some foreign nations. It is expected that the conferees will make it absolute on all. With the abolishment of the employment agent, known as the "crimp," another distinct advance for sailors has been made.

The safety section, that is to say, the section which provides for safety of life at sea, during especially long voyages, is ineffectual in the House substitute. It is inadequate even as to American vessels. This is, no doubt, an oversight arising from the expectation that the European nations would adopt the safety regulations agreed to at the London conference. The regulations adopted by the London conference have been adopted by the few nations of the first parent condition of Europe makes it certain that they will not be adopted, so that in order to get at least the amount of safety in foreign vessels that will exist in American vessels, the regulations such as proposed for American vessels must be applicable to foreign vessels in ports of the United States. The substitute does not come up to the Senate bill, either in boats or in men to man them. The substitute authorizes the recommendation of what is called certificated life boat men, which simply means watchers and stewards who have been given a certificate. Of course they are good, but no better. Handling boats in a gale or wind at sea in times of disaster is not their job. There is nothing in their daily life to prepare them for such job, and it will prove a misfortune if this innovation is finally adopted. It will also prove more expensive for the shipowners than the corresponding provisions in the La Follette bill.

The bill now in the Senate committee where it will be studied and there will be time enough for you to communicate with your Senators, urging the adoption of the provisions of the La Follette bill or as near thereto as possible. It would be too great a pity if we were now to get an ineffective piece of legislation from safety point of view.

WAGES OF CARMEN RAISED

Northampton, Mass., Sept. 5.—The conference between representatives of the Amalgamated Association of Street Car Men and officers of the local street car company has resulted in a settlement of that difficulty and the men will go back to return to work. Wages have been increased over the figures offered and now the first year men get 25 cents an hour instead of 23, and 30 cents for maximum pay, instead of 28. A daily allowance of ten minutes for taking coal and putting up cars and making out the daily report is agreed to by the company.

Barn men, track men, linemen, etc., are to receive 2 1-2 per cent increase over the present rate of pay.

This schedule of wages is to run for two years from June 1, 1914.

The total yearly increase of wages in a lump sum for the conductors and motormen is $3,600.

All employes are to be re-instated without prejudice.

Over fifty strikebreakers left the city when the strike was declared off. The unionists celebrated their victory with a parade and an address by Lieut. Gov. Barry.

ILLINOIS WORKERS TO MEET.

Springfield, Ill., Sept. 5.—In a call for the convention of the Illinois State Federation of Labor, issued by the executive board of October 20, officers of the state branch say:

"If every labor union in the State of Illinois will affiliate with the Illinois State Federation of Labor, and work in harmony with same, then will we be able to get the maximum of benefits more in every way possible for our membership and, at the same time, be in the strongest position possible to go on with the work of organization itself, until every man, woman, boy and girl in the state who works for a living is within the folds of the labor movement, partaking of its benefits, and in a position to contribute intelligently their full strength in resisting oppression and in making progress and establishing better working conditions, shorter work days and a higher rate of wages for those who toil, with all that signifies for them and their loved ones."

FALSE ADS ARE BLAMED.

Portland, Ore., Sept. 5.—False advertisements, which seek to divert immigrants into the northwest, were held responsible by several witnesses before the Federal Industrial Relations Commission for the unrest that exists. This is utterly unjustifiable, was disclosed, to keep all of the unskilled labor employed throughout the country.

"People come out here lured by advertisements, thinking they are getting into a land of milk and honey," said Sweet, executive secretary of the Oregon Civic League, said. "And they find on arrival that no there is nothing and there is no honey," suggested Commissioner Garretson.

TO OPPOSE CHILD LABOR.

Harrisburg, Pa., Sept. 5.—Defenders and opponents of child labor in this state are preparing for a struggle to be waged before the next legislature. Opponents of child labor claim that this is the only big industrial state which has refused to limit the working day of children to eight hours; that it employs more children under 16 years of age than any other state, and that it is now the only labor legislation is affecting the entire country. It is shown that so long as Pennsylvania permits the children to work nine and ten hours the old cry of "competition" will be raised by small states, where friends of children are trying to limit their work day.

PRESSMEN ORGANIZE.

Terre Haute, Ind., Sept. 5.—An union of pressmen and assistants has been formed in this city, and it is now proposed to form an Allied Printing Trades Council.

TO FORM STATE BRANCH.

Tacoma, Wash., Sept. 5.—A call to organize a State Federation of Barbers has been issued by members of the Barbers union in this state. The meeting will be held in Tacoma, September 6.

WILL PLEDGE CANDIDATES.

Olympia, Wash., Sept. 5.—The joint legislative committee representing the State Federation of Labor, State Grange and Farmers' union will shake a special effort to secure the adoption of the initiative amendment providing that future candidates must pledge themselves to be bound by initiative, dispensing with the requirement for a two-thirds vote of submission, as heretofore, and to be taken up

MASSACHUSETTS' IMMIGRATION PROBLEM

It is encouraging to see some of the interest devoted to foreign immigration turning from the question of exclusion or admission to the question of what to do with the immigrants once they are here.

For while we are disputing about the desirability or undesirability of admitting them, in they are coming, year after year, thousand upon thousand, steadily adding to the weight of the "immigration problem"—such as it is.

The care of immigrants after arrival would seem to be particularly a function of the States. They can have nothing to say about the admission of immigrants but must take the consequences of their presence. The Federal government, on the other hand, which can exclude, or exclude, has no powers or facilities for continued supervision.

Yet the States have been slow to take this matter up. Many of them have so-called "bureaus of immigration," but these are primarily for the purpose of attracting settlers of a selected kind, whether native or foreign, to the States. New York and California, have bureaus of immigration in active operation—but have for their object the getting of the foreign immigrant. Two more States, New Jersey and Massachusetts, have in fact founded bureaus of labor by creating—New Jersey in 1911 and Massachusetts in 1913—commissions of immigration to investigate and make recommendations.

The Massachusetts report, which has lately appeared, is a thorough and admirable piece of work, and should prove instructive and stimulating to other States which ought to be taking hold of the same problem. For the report has shown how careful original investigations of the commission are those that characterize communities generally where immigrants are found.

There are, naturally, characteristic local differences. It appears, for instance, that methods of dealing with immigrants at the port of Boston are far behind the methods followed in New York. The inspection is carried on not at a central station where facilities could be made adequate, but at the docks and piers where steamers arrive. The detention quarters are "disgracefully inadequate," and immigrants are sometimes discharged into a common waiting room immediately after inspection without further care or guidance to fall an easy prey to the various species of vultures which infest every port.

Exploitation by unscrupulous employment agencies seems to be particularly flagrant in Massachusetts. The law affecting these agencies is said by the commission to "lack all the safeguards of a good law," and is poorly enforced.

Housing conditions in which the immigrants are found are generally poor. Dark overcrowding and lack of sanitation are characteristic features. There is no state housing legislation in Massachusetts, except the acts of 1911 and 1913 establishing standard requirements for towns and cities, which take effect only when adopted by municipalities. As a matter of fact, no cities have as yet accepted them as law.

With its educational traditions we should expect from Massachusetts more adequate recognition of the educational needs of immigrants than is shown by the report. In only a few localities and to a very limited extent is special provision made for immigrant children, even simply from ignorance of language, are often found in classes with subnormal children—a dreadful state of things. The needs of adult immigrants are most imperfectly met. In 1906-11 there were 215,000 non-English-speaking persons in Massachusetts, and it is estimated that at the very least 200,000 of these persons did not receive the benefit of any educational means.

These are but a few of the vital subjects touched upon in the report. It is a wonder that so much valuable material could be got together in so short a space of time. For the commission was required by the act constituting it to "make a full investigation" into a general condition of immigrants, "including their way of living, distribution, occupation, educational facilities and civic facilities and also their relation to the industrial, social, and economic welfare of the people in the commonwealth," and to report their findings and recommendations within seven months of their appointment!

A large order. And that it was filled so successfully is due largely to the fact that the commission was fortunate in securing as executive secretary, and director of investigations, Grace Abbott, director of the Immigrants' Protective League of Chicago, whose comprehensive and sympathetic understanding, energetic zeal and loyal cooperation" the commission of says it owes to its letter of transmittal. It should not be forgotten also that one member of the commission was Miss Emily G. Balch, whose authoritative studies of immigrant life peculiarly fitted her for this duty.

The recommendations of the commission are most comprehensive, touching all aspects of the immigrant, from his landing to his discharge range from informal suggestions for the more effective co-ordination of existing agencies to recommendations for further legislation.

The legislative program was not expected to go through at this year's session, as the report was not presented until April, and the financial burden of the state were particularly heavy. The most important recommendation, however, for establishing a central bureau of immigrants to care for immigrants in various ways, has already been reported on favorably by committee and is now expected to pass at an early date.—Kate Holladay Claghorn, in The Survey.

LOSS OF LIEE IN COLORADO COAL MINES

Before and since the strike of the Colorado coal miners began, September 23, 1913, the mine owners refused to meet representatives of their men, always claiming that they had "nothing to arbitrate."

That there are two sides to every question, no man can deny.

There are two sides to the Colorado coal strike.

The mine owners have flooded the country with their literature attempting to prove that they "have nothing to arbitrate."

Many questions are involved in the present industrial struggle in Colorado which merit the attention of the country at large, and which we think prove that there is something to arbitrate.

There is nothing more sacred than life. When, through criminal negligence, through disobedience of the law, through improper management and inadequate ventilation, the mine operator causes the kill in their mines almost three times as many men, per thousand employed, as the average for the United States, and four times the average of states where operators recognize the United Mine Workers of America, we believe there is something to arbitrate.

Note also that the conservation of life is a vital issue in the future of these United States.

Statistics published by the Bureau of Mines of the Department of Interior show the wanton disregard in which the Colorado operators hold the lives of their men.

In 1913 there were 13,000 men working in the mines of Colorado. Of this number 108 were killed, or an average of 8.31 per 1,000 employed.

Eight thousand and thirty-six men worked in the neighboring state of Wyoming, where the same laws are enforced as the United Mine Workers. In this state 26 men were killed, or an average of 3.24 men per 1,000 employed.

Missouri, another union state, had 10,146 miners at work. But 70 men lost their lives in the mines of that state, or an average of .99 per 1,000.

In the organized State of Iowa 16,514 miners were employed in 1913. Twenty-six men were killed, or an average of 1.57 per thousand.

Illinois, the best organized State in America, employed 70,490, miners. Of these 104 lost their lives, or an average of 2.06 per thousand.

Oklahoma operators employed 8,544 men, practically all of them organized in the United Mine Workers. In this state 23 men were killed, or an average of 2.69 per thousand.

In Indiana, another organized state, 21,146 men worked in 1913. Sixty-six of these lost their lives in the mines, or an average of 3.05 per thousand.

Ohio states 43,837 miners. 43,837 union miners. One hundred and sixty-five of them were killed, or an average of 3.57 per thousand.

There were 726,355 coal miners in the United States in 1913. Two thousand, seven hundred and seventy-five of these lost their lives, or an average of 3.82 men per thousand.

In Colorado the percentage of lives lost was 8.31 men per thousand.

Let us consider the death list of Colorado miners in 1910.

In that year 14,703 men were employed. Three hundred and nineteen were killed, or an average of 21.6 per thousand.

The average number of killed in the whole United States in that year was 3.52 for every thousand men employed, or one-seventh of the average number of fatalities in Colorado.

These are the facts.

But the operators insist that they have "nothing to arbitrate."

In 1911 ninety-one Colorado miners out of the 13,615 employed, gave their lives, or an average of 6.35 for every thousand men employed.

In 1912 there were 12,000 men at work in Colorado. Of these, 95 lost their lives, or 7.91 for every 1,000 men employed. This is twice the average number of men killed in the United States.

These above figures are one of the many reasons for the present strike of Colorado miners.—United Mine Workers Bulletin.

CHILD LABOR IN NEW JERSEY

For a long term of years previous to fifteen years ago, effective opposition prevailed in New Jersey against restricting children of twelve years of age from working in the glass-blowing industries. The unsuitability of the unwholesome occupation was not recognized sufficiently by legislation to be secured until the comparatively recent time. New Jersey has been making progress in fifteen years, and now it has a new child labor law forming which is the same lines of that which has been in effect in this State for a year. By its provisions glass blowing is forbidden employment to children under fourteen, and other industries harmful to the health or threatening young life are barred against children of fifteen years.

As in Massachusetts when its new law went into effect, so in New Jersey, direful consequences to industry are being predicted, and every one of them is the same as gloomily anticipated. But, much of the domestic and industrial distress has been relieved, and the people know avoided, and we here are in a position now to advise New Jersey to congratulate itself on the humanity it is making for the uplift of its people, toward which no surer step can be taken than that which advantages childhood.

Children are being thrown out of work to be sure, but it is work that they ought not to be doing, or work that they can be better done by others, receiving the broad foresight approve for them. Children over fourteen and under sixteen who have been employed in the glass factories are now recognized as unhealthful occupations have necessarily been left to children who have been at work under the authority of working papers that would not have been investigated. But they have been risked by employers under the less strict provisions of the earlier law, are turned out, and they can meet the legal requirements in the matter of age and learning; and children of fifteen and eighteen upon the old ten hours or more a day schedule are out of a job, through the impossibility of their finding what are recognized as unhealthful occupations have necessarily been left to children who have been at work under the authority of working papers that would not have been investigated. But they have been risked by employers under the less strict provisions of the earlier law, are turned out, and they can meet the legal requirements in the matter of age and learning; and children of fifteen and eighteen upon the old ten hours or more a day schedule are out of a job, through the impossibility of their finding what are to adjust working conditions to meet the eight-hour day.

This new element of children who have been at work has raised the doleful cry of greed and poverty. But great distress has been relieved, and others thus assuaged, for the sake of giving the children a chance for healthful employment, and to secure a better future.—New Bedford (Mass.) Standard.

WHY TRADE UNIONISTS FAVOR SHORTER HOURS

Trade unionists do not believe that great numbers of people, regardless of their character, desire progress nor do they believe that the addition of cheap, unintelligent labor will add to the progress or welfare of a people.

Trade unionists have been taught by stern experience that every time a conflict a man for valuable productive labor and that the man who works long hours, like the rubber which is always stretched, will not last long; so that when the union members of the world have made up its instrument for the progress and improvement of the entire community, even to point of opposition, rather than a hindrance to it, as some employers seem to believe.

The fact that constant toil, like a canker, robs the human being of the vitality so essential to progress, cannot be disputed by any man who is a student of industrial conditions.

The unionist contends and maintains that the most valuable asset a country can have is an intelligent healthy class of wage workers, with reasonable and hours of labor and reasonable pay.

The history of unionism in America demonstrates that short hours and good pay give such an impetus to the brain and muscle of the laboring man that he has surpassed the world in efficiency and has made himself entitled to such wages, to produce many of the necessities of life at a lower cost of production than cheap foreign labor.

The cheap, ignorant laborer, it is urged, is easily satisfied and contented, but the unionist which is in itself an admission that he does not add to the efficiency of his employer. It is the man who is contented with his position never achieves much. It is the constant ambition to better himself that keeps him with present conditions. That makes a man accomplish things. That makes him, also, a factor toward progress.—Exchange.

ASKS CITY TO ASSIST.

Philadelphia, Sept. 5.—The Central Labor union has adopted a resolution calling upon the city to appropriate $25,000 to entertain delegates to the A. F. of L. convention, which convenes in this city next November.

A SUBJECT DISCUSSED TOO RARELY

By Sedgwick Hamilton.

The toll of industry has perhaps been given less attention generally throughout the world than has the subject of labor difficulties in dispute. Unfortunately the men most directly concerned, the workers, are to be blamed usually for their employers' lack of interest shown in the subject. Undoubtedly this condition is attributable to a failure to comprehend the uniqueness of industrial hazards. Men go to war expecting to meet an enemy that will shoot to kill, and count themselves lucky should they return alive after meeting the enemy. Industry is quite as baneful as war in the number of its victims, yet how few there are who give it the consideration they do war; who fearlessly accept the hazards of their employment without even a thought for the dangers of dying; by adopting the simplest of preventive measures, or seeking to have adequate safeguards provided.

The new school of preventive medicine is rapidly displacing the old school of curative medicine. In due course, there will always be an immense field for medical practitioners. By the same token, the science of accident prevention will lessen the number of maimed and crippled workers, though there will continue to be accidents. While no uniform system of statistics has been maintained with relation to industrial accidents, reasonably certain from the statistics at hand that there are approximately 25,000 fatal accidents in industry annually, and 1,042,000 non-fatal accidents in the United States. Nine per cent of all deaths are caused by the accidents of violence, while 20 per cent of the deaths of young men under twenty-five years of age is caused by accidents. Accidents, Lead and arsenical poisoning may not result in such direct causes of death, but they result in so weakening constitutions that they are laid liable to tuberculosis and other diseases and die in a more lingering manner, and which eventually are the direct cause of many deaths.

In America during the period between 1880 and 1908, 3.82 per cent of all those employed, or a total of 29,293 workers, were killed. For the same period the toll of the mines and stone quarries amounted to 3,602 lives, while 63,000 were injured. In the railway service of the United States during 1906 there were 3,405 employes killed and 67,027 injured, and this represents a decrease in fatalities from 2.9 to 1 per thousand since the introduction of the safety appliance act of 1893, and a decrease in accidents from 56 to 18 per thousand. But these statistics do not entirely include industrial, and there are hundreds of what are termed "minor" accidents each day that are never reported.

Aside from the deaths due to purely accidental causes are the deaths due to industrial poisons in which all industries there is a poison of one nature or another in use. Some of these are used in such small quantities that there is really little hazard in the occupation, yet the slightest amount of poison in the body of infinitesimal particles will in time result in sickness of one kind or another if not in death, and if the person thus afflicted attempts to renew the warning voice of his system by the introduction of intoxicants, his condition is usually ascribed to "drink" rather than the poison which has been introduced into his system during his working hours and has begun his undoing.

These industrial poisons, most of which may be avoided or minimized are numerous. In a list prepared by Dr. J. Somerfield, in collaboration with Sir Thomas Oliver, M. D., and Dr. Felix Putzeys, for the International Association for Labor Legislation, fifty-four distinct poisons are enumerated, together, with the branches of industry or processes in which poisoning occurs, the symptoms of each form of poisoning and, so far as possible, the measures of relief. The investigations preceding this compilation covered a long period of time, and it is asserted that all industrial poisons have not as yet been traced, though work is being continued in an effort to classify each form of poisoning.

In this list we take as an example among many industries brought to notice, mirror-silvering, coating iron plate with tin or zinc, manufacture of solidified hydrocarbon oil, manufacture of carbonic soda, dyeing industry, sewer cleaning, plastic painting, varnish and lacquer manufacture, tannage, beet-sugar manufacture, ice manufacturing, refrigeration plants, the manufacture of matches, the manufacture of alkaloids and the manufacture of oilcloth and artificial leather. Each of these industries is especially liable to follow long-continued inhalation of small quantities of the poisonous fumes. The substance enters the body in gaseous form through the organs of respiration, seldom pure, but in combination with other gases. Lead and arsenical poisoning may not result in such direct causes of death, but they result in so weakening constitutions that they are laid liable to tuberculosis and other diseases and die in a more lingering manner, and which eventually are the direct cause of many deaths.

In America during the period between 1880 and 1908, 3.82 per cent of all those employed, or a total of 29,293 workers, were killed. For the same period the toll of the mines and stone quarries amounted to 3,602 lives, while 63,000 were injured. In the railway service of the United States during 1906 there were 3,405 employes killed and 67,027 injured, and this represents a decrease in fatalities from 2.9 to 1 per thousand since the introduction of the safety appliance act of 1893, and a decrease in accidents from 56 to 18 per thousand. But these statistics do not entirely include industrial, and there are hundreds of what are termed "minor" accidents each day that are never reported.

Aside from the deaths due to purely accidental causes are the deaths due to industrial poisons in which all industries there is a poison of one nature or another in use. Some of these are used in such small quantities that there is really little hazard in the occupation, yet the slightest amount of poison in the body of infinitesimal particles will in time result in sickness of one kind or another if not in death, and if the person thus afflicted attempts to renew the warning voice of his system by the introduction of intoxicants, his condition is usually ascribed to "drink" rather than the poison which has been introduced into his system during his working hours and has begun his undoing.

These industrial poisons, most of which may be avoided or minimized are numerous. In a list prepared by Dr. J. Somerfield, in collaboration with Sir Thomas Oliver, M. D., and Dr. Felix Putzeys, for the International Association for Labor Legislation, fifty-four distinct poisons are enumerated, together, with the branches of industry or processes in which poisoning occurs, the symptoms of each form of poisoning and, so far as possible, the measures of relief. The investigations preceding this compilation covered a long period of time, and it is asserted that all industrial poisons have not as yet been traced, though work is being continued in an effort to classify each form of poisoning.

In this list we take as an example among many industries brought to notice, mirror-silvering, coating iron plate with tin or zinc, manufacture of solidified hydrocarbon oil, manufacture of carbonic soda, dyeing industry, sewer cleaning, plastic painting, varnish and lacquer manufacture, tannage, beet-sugar manufacture, ice manufacturing, refrigeration plants, the manufacture of matches, the manufacture of alkaloids and the manufacture of oilcloth and artificial leather. Each of these industries is especially liable to follow long-continued inhalation of small quantities of the poisonous fumes. The substance enters the body in gaseous form through the organs of respiration, seldom pure, but in combination with other gases.

In the manufacture of glass, colored chalk, steel, metal working, textile printing, the whitening of sugar, manufacture, and the manufacture of oilcloth and artificial leather, and in the rubber manufacturing, which enters the body in the form of gas or dust through the respiratory organs, digestive diseases and poisoning of the intestinal canal. Chronic cases exhibit symptoms of many kinds, including constipation, diminished appetite, nausea, sleeplessness, gastric disturbances, catarrh, and sometimes skin diseases, and finally end in a state of chronic ill-health.

Benzine is not alone dangerous because of its explosive qualities when mixed with air, but it is the cause of headaches, vertigo, nausea, cough, irregular respiration, weakness of the heart, deep sleep and drowsiness. Benzine is used in cleansing plants, as a fat solvent, and in the rubber manufacturing, waterproofing and the manufacture of waterproof materials, where the rubber mass is dissolved in benzine.

Industrial lead poisoning appears as a rule in the chronic form and arises from continuous absorption of, in small infinitesimal quantities of lead during a protracted period of time, weeks, months, and even years. Mercurial poisoning is also occasioned by work in this metal in like manner, the commonly weeks, months, years or decades.

So common a thing as petroleum is a prolific cause of poisoning in furniture polishing, the production and refining of crude oil, as a lubricating, a direct action on the skin. The vapors cause a profound acute poisoning with the symptoms of intoxication, loss of consciousness, almost imperceptible pulse and asphyxia.

Tar, which is made use in the manufacture of illuminating gas, coke ovens, wood or preserving plants, roofing paper manufacture, paving and is quantities used as a fuel, acts on the skin, causing tarpitch, loss of appetite, nausea, headache, numbness and pains.

Turpentine is used in the manufacture of varnish, cement, lacquer, sealing wax, colors, and in painting and as a cleansing agent. In the form of vapors it acts upon the mucous membranes, and in the fluid state upon the skin. It causes irritation of the mucous membranes of the eyes, and nose, causing coughs and bronchial inflammation, salivation, insensitiveness, paralysis and nausea. Severe irritation of the skin is also caused.

Dr. Fischer, of Berlin, has compiled a list of methods to be employed in industrial workers against the dangers of poison, in which he recommends: (1) Separate workrooms, building, work and preparation for dangerous rooms, good lighting, facilities for keeping the work-rooms clean, and for ventilation. (2) Apparatus adapted to its special purpose, whenever possible, to prevent the escape of any material. (3) Appliances for accomplishing the arrest of gases and dust at their source, and for their removal by exhaust fans and in a suitable manner rendering them innocuous or collecting them, thus preventing them from entering the nose and mouth. (4) So far as possible, avoidance of direct contact with poisonous materials, thus preventing injuries to health. (5) The displacement of particularly dangerous labor processes and materials. (6) Instruction of workmen just entering upon an occupation concerning the properties of the substances and materials contracted, manufactured, used, or otherwise involved. (7) The repetition of this instruction at stated intervals. (8) Posting of precautionary regulations and warning placards. Constant supervision of all details of the installations by a careful responsible persons. (9) Employment of appropriate means for personal protection, and to clothes, caps, gloves, goggles, mouth and nose shields, respirators, etc. (10) Practice of the highest degree of bodily cleanliness, the use of special rooms for eating, separate wardrobes for street and working clothes. (11) Immediate report of symptoms of indisposition, attention to wounds of the skin caused by handling corrosive materials, speedy employment of an antidote and summoning of a physician. (12) The installation of a healthy workshop capable of with standing exposure to the poison. Temporary or permanent evacuation of sick rooms and the dangerous departments of an industry. Medical examination. Under the individual circumstances it should be a change of work in occupations giving rise to chronic poisoning. (13) The shortening of the working hours of labor in dangerous employments.

Decline in Labor Cost.

The statements issued by railroad officials showing how the outlay for labor has increased have become commonplace. To the reader of the daily press who does not stop to consider the matter they create the impression, as those who issue them wish to create, that a large part of the financial woes of the transportation companies are due to labor. But due to the demands of railroad employes for higher wages, and to the more rigid examination of the facts, however, soon shows how unwarranted these statements are. An analysis of the operating performance of the carriers at once discloses the fact that the railroads by using larger engines, longer trains and by adding to trainloads have developed a remarkable increase in efficiency of employes, and while the cost of labor and the wage-earner have reduced to a striking degree the labor cost of conducting transportation. An examination of the annual reports of fifty-three Western railroads in the Interstate Commerce Commission shows that for 1,000 traffic units handled in 1890 the cost of engineers and firemen was 20 cents as compared with only 39 cents in 1913. In other words, these representative Western roads were enabled for 39 cents to move a ton-mile one million miles 27 cents cheaper last year than in 1890, or, to reduce their engineer and fireman cost 51 per cent, or more than 50 per cent. In the light of these facts, the sham of the claim of the railroads that increased operating costs have been due to added outlays for engineers and firemen is apparent.—Bakersfield (Cal.) Union Labor Journal.

A Five-hour Day.

It is a very wise saying, that it is not what a man earns, but what he saves that establishes that man's wealth. So it is with effort. The man who only consumes in time that makes his abilities valuable, but it is what he concentrates into time—what he accomplishes in the present time that is valuable to him. The man not only as a do-er, but as a be-er. The efficiency and profitability of the eight-hour day for workers has been proved over and over again as a wise move. For the freer and healthier and happier man or woman is the more useful they are and the better they do their work.

A step farther, however, is necessary. The shorter men get big enough to servitude of the machine. The economy will the maximum efficiency of workers be proved. Only by the hour in which a man concentrates everything that he has within him to the working out of the best that is in him. Then, and only then, eight-hours of labor performed wherein his efforts reach but half their full power? Will there not be a liability of employers to adjust working conditions to meet the eight-hour day.

Will there not be a re-adjustment of hours when all work has raised the doleful cry of greed and poverty. But great distress has been relieved, and others thus assuaged, for the sake of giving the children a chance for healthful employment, and to secure a better future.—New Bedford (Mass.) Standard.

THE STUMBLER.

There is none so wise or great,
But has to learn and wait;
Let the pathway that is straight,
And been humbled.

And in every man's wake
There is many a mistake,
That will need to be shaken.

When he stumbled
Just because you've lost one fight
Is no reason for throwing up the sponge,
Battle on with all your might.

Fame will crown you
Yesterday that is dead and gone,
Its mistakes don't dwell upon.
If you'll only examine on
That can't down you.

—Detroit Free Press.

THE REFORMER.

And, indeed, though the life of the reformer may be rugged and arduous, it were hard to say considerately, that any other were worth living at all. Who would accept the lukewarm life of the conquering, desolating, subjugating warrior—of the devotee of Gold, or Pleasure, or Ease, or Self, or Sloth, or his purple, the Miser by his chest, the wassailer over his beaker, or the Sot in his kennel? And all offense against God? But the earnest unselfish Reformer—though he walk in darkness, through suffering, and honestly striving to replace these by light and purity and happiness—has done, and is doing, what have done before him, but he cannot fail.—Horace Greeley.

Unions Can Do Anything but Function; Editor Refutes "Open Shop" Claims

There's a heap of funny things happening in this world, and one of the funniest is the attempt of the employers' association of Stockton to establish the open shop in that city.

In other words, the Merchants, Manufacturers and Employers' Association wants to use its organized effort to prevent the workers from using organized effort.

"Was there ever anything more contrary to the principle of square dealing?" asks the Stockton Republican, and it nicely in this way:

"The Merchants, Manufacturers and Employers' association of Stockton has started a campaign for the 'open shop.'

"And this is the sort of 'open shop' proposed: There shall be no union label on any goods; there shall be no union cards in any shop. Employers shall employ, hire and discharge all employes individually, as individuals, and have no dealings on the subject of employment except with each employe individually—or with each other collectively.

"The individual employed may individually also belong to a labor union—or to the Methodist church, or to the Elks. But the employer will have no dealings as to that union, and will not deal with any employe through it. The employer will not recognize any functions except the only function which makes it a union—collective bargaining.

"In other words, this 'open' shop is closed absolutely to the unions. It is not closed to the individuals who individually belong to the unions, but it is closed to whatever function it is forced to them except in their individual and therefore nonunion capacity.

"And this prohibition of collective bargaining to the wage-earners is enforced by a collective bargain of the wage-payers. The denial of organization is made by an organization. This demand that the workmen make each his separate bargain is one which employers have at first hound themselves and each other to a collective bargain. And this collective bargain is one that the other class from organizing—or from being dealt with as an organization, if it does. And the open organization of the employers appoints its agents, to refuse to receive any agents but such as represent no one but themselves, only separately, without agents.

"The scheme is as impractical as it is dishonest and vile. It is the exact reverse of the 'open' shop. If there is to be organization on both, and these organizations from each make collective bargains with each other, then is there perfect harmony, without agents and without agreement with his employer, each man is making a separate bargain with each of his employers."

The Stockton employers will find it much more to their interest to deal with unions now than they would have found it a few years ago. The press and people everywhere are learning the fairness and fallacy of the open shop as advocated by selfish interests.—Los Angeles Citizen.

THE GOOD TRADE UNIONS DO

In the issue of the New York Sun of July 13 there appears an article by Charles F. Carter under the caption, "As An Investment, Does It Pay the Humble Workman to Belong to a Labor Union?"

This question he proceeds to answer in the negative by an array of statistics as to the cost of strikes in the loss of days of employment consequently wages. He explores the usual aspersions of the enemies of trade unionism in seeking to convince his readers that the trade union member suffers more by strikes than he gains by their prosecution.

As an example illustrative of his contention he cites the case of the United Mine Workers of America as representative of the injurious effects of trade unionism.

As is usual with those who oppose organized labor he views its work from a very narrow standpoint. He does not seem to grasp the full scope of the question, and that is the side that supports his preconceived opinions, formed from the statistics and limitations of mind that have distorted or obstructed his mental vision.

So far as the United Mine Workers are concerned we are convinced that there is no other organization that has done more for the uplift of the toilers than their detriment by Mr. Carter but that they will be able to answer to the discrediting of his statements.

It is a false assumption generally accepted by the enemies of organized labor that the humble workers in the ranks are ruled by a few leaders. Those entertaining such views are ignorant of the principles and rules that govern trade union organizations. A study of their administration, their constitutions and methods they are the most democratic of institutions, in which the voice of the majority is the power that determines the course of action.

To enter into an argument as to the benefits derived by labor in the workers in the day is like asking the question whether the struggle for humanity and liberty has been to it of any advantage. So self-evident have been its accomplishments and so good that to deny it is to utter an absurdity.

So to question the advantages to the wage earner of trade unionism is to question an equally absurd proposition.

Whatever may be its failures trade unionism has done more than all other institutions of labor for the worker, in a force progressed forward to higher ideals; to nobler aspirations, and to greater comforts for those who move the great industrial machine of civilization.

The fact that men and women have been willing to sacrifice their lives and their liberties in defense of the principles of trades unionism should be convincing evidence that the estimate of the importance of principles in industry are considered as essential to human happiness and progress.

That it is so is furnished by many illustrations. As has been said, no country, at least no republic, can prosper that fires not a high standard of labor, a large measure of freedom in opportunity that implies to the working man a freedom to organize and to dispose of his labor power on a basis which he has the power of regulating. If he has not this power he is in a position of servitude. He must ever carry him downward. In the scale of life and well-being.

In these countries where trade unions do not exist and where the employers dictate to the workers, there is found a low scale of civilization and conditions that prevent the founding of free institutions with any promise of their perpetuity. The working people live in ignorance, squalor and dirt, without hope and without the ambition that the hope of opportunity by industrial oppression to receive the rewards that come to those who are free and who have the right to the course of their social destiny by industrial freedom.

Trade unions are not only a benefit to the humble worker in the ranks of a trade union, but they are a blessing to the nonunion worker, for they establish a wage basis that lifts the general wage scale to a higher standard for all.

It is through the trade unions that the worker is pressing forward to a higher individual, social and industrial development. Their birth and growth has been a blessing to the workers and to humanity. Their suppression by the combined calamity in augmenting the powers of the employing class and the degradation of the workers. This voice records the protest against wrong and the day would speedily accumulate and men as rapidly decay. patriotism would vanish, and humanity would sink into the depths of despair rather than pressing forward toward a better day.

All are to belong to the labor union, and this is known to every intelligent workman and ought to be apparent to every honest man, and it proves that Mr. Carter if he had made a wise use of the knowledge that he possesses, he had his subject with a desire for the truth.—Toronto Lance.

SIGN JOINT CONTRACTS.

Springfield, Ill., Sept. 5.—Scale and Employes, Musicians and Moving Picture Operators unions have secured contracts with the Majestic and Chatterton Opera House companies, whereby the scale of

PROPOSED EIGHT-HOUR LAW DEFENDED

The farmers of the state of California, or most prosperous, some of the farmers of the state, are very much excited over the initiative measure providing for the eight-hour workday in all employments within the state, and are clamoring boisterously for the defeat of the measure. That some of these men are in dead earnest in their belief that it will be impossible to comply with such requirements as are prescribed in the law without great loss there can be no doubt. That others of the fruit growers vote for this law, and I would like to get all the information possible about it."

The language of this correspondent leaves no room for doubt as to what he thinks will be the effect of the law on the fruit industry.

A farmer, writing to the Pacific Rural Press concerning the eight-hour day, takes much the same view expressed by a fruit grower at a meeting in this city last week. This farmer says:

In reading the Pacific Rural Press of the last few numbers on farming and the eight-hour business I think that some subscribers have some queer ideas, and I suppose that I have, for one myself do not want the eight-hour law to affect me in any part or prevent me using it, too; which is not very much. But what if it does pass? I think that we can live under the law, and I figure instead of having so much land to work that a man can never get his work done. I would have less of it, but if a man has more land than he can care for right, why not rent or sell enough so that he can do the work right and do it well as a farmer, and I consider myself better off being one than most business men.

The Rural Press does not say that even though he does not think the eight-hour law to be a good thing for him, he has faith to believe that we can survive the shock of the proposed change of hours. But it seems to be the opinion of many persons expressed by some of the farmers that the adoption by the people of the proposed law will be disastrous.

The farmer, like other employers of labor, desires to get all the work out of the men that he can, and all he can get a wage as possible. In this desire he is not freakish or out of the ordinary. He is in the position to ask the law to heed the claims of disaster for the farmer if compelled to limit the workday to eight hours, but it is

COMPULSORY ARBITRATION'S LATEST EVANGELIST

There are two methods for securing for workers wages and working conditions in accord with ideals of justice: one, to place upon the workers themselves initiative and responsibility in working out their own welfare; the other, to place initiative and responsibility in some outside agency either private or governmental. The first method is in accord upon democratic principles, the other upon paternalistic.

Australia and New Zealand have been experimenting with the paternalistic method for a number of years and their experiences are of more than passing interest. The recent visit to our country of one who for years has been in touch with the public affairs of the Commonwealth of Australia and who is president of the Commonwealth Arbitration Court has again brought renewed interest in that system. Judge Higgins has been a member of the court during the greater part of its existence and, as is to be expected, approves of the court and its policies. Numerous magazine articles have given prominence to his views. His is the viewpoint of one who looks at the labor movement and labor problems from the outside. He has no conception of the labor movement as a living force that the workers have created out of their necessity—a vital, normal thing that is their very own and has grown out of their lives and environment. He has a legal conception that unions must exist because of the whole system of compulsory arbitration rests upon regulations and restraints. It is necessary the Attorney-General is given authority actually to create a union," he naively states in the old centuries when the plot became so involved there seemed no possible way to save the characters of the drama except by invention, a god called the deus ex machina was let down from above to save them. Evidently unions, according to Judge Higgins, are of the nature of the deus ex machina—an expedient to be let down from above. This concept of organization explains his assurances that the wage boards and the compulsory arbitration system in Australia are promoting rather than hindering "effective" labor organization.

From those who view the labor movement from within the ranks of the workers and who have had long and intimate experience with conditions in Australia, the opinions of two Australians have recently come to us that are of great importance.

Mr. F. H. Hickey, General Secretary-Treasurer of the United Federation of Labor of New Zealand, on June 29, 1914, wrote of us:

"Let me say that I have read from time to time of your strenuous opposition to compulsory arbitration. For me, if you could see the curse it is in this young country with all its ramifications, the bitterness and the industrial antagonism would be even greater. Here it is simply crushing the heart of labor and unless the repeal of some of the legislation is not swiftly secured in the form of granting of the right to the workers to use their organizations in the direction the majority see fit, I am much afraid that the result will be chaotic in the extreme."

This from New Zealand, that has been heralded as the land of no strikes that had solved the problems of industrial peace and justice. New Zealand, the "land of industrial freedom," passed a law December 11, 1913, that provides a fine of twenty pounds (one hundred dollars) or three months' imprisonment for workers found guilty of picketing!

The people of New Zealand, the "land of the workers," have most brutal methods of arresting strikers. Two arrest one man, throw him down, choke him, compelling him to walk on his hands to the police station, with his head bumping up and down on each forward movement. It is called "Frog Marching." Strikes have been declared from time to time, but they have been made criminal. Industrial peace and freedom are not yet established in New Zealand. Strike and interference has restrained the progress of democracy in industry.

In Australia and New Zealand the problems of the workers takes two forms, compulsory arbitration and the creation of a minimum principle of unfreedom underlies both. The conditions of service are determined by an outside authority with power to enforce compliance.

Judge Higgins approves the principle of minimum wage boards and thinks it finds some results to be criticized. He admits that wages boards may be dominated by employers, that wage boards do not assure industrial justice or industrial peace. They may solve some problems but they cause others. Read any issue of any Australian paper for proof that the commonwealth is not at peace.

Progress must depend upon the people. It must come from within, not from without; from below, not from above. The test of the effectiveness of Australian legislation lies in whether or not it militates against individual initiative and social progress.

Mr. Albert Hinchcliffe, a member of the Australian Labor party and also of the Parliament of Queensland, recently made a trip through the United States and visited the headquarters of the American Federation of Labor. In discussing this phase of Australian legislation Mr. Hinchcliffe said to us it had determined the effectiveness of labor organizations by making the workers look to outside agencies for their conditions and had thereby stunted the initiative and growth of organizations. Like Mr. Hickey, he said it had taken the heart out of the movement.

In a sympathetic magazine interview with Judge Higgins he is reported to have asked: "But why do your American trade unions prefer the bludgeon of the strike to the modern rifle of arbitration and conciliation?" If Judge Higgins had visited the headquarters of the union movement of America he would have received the answer to his question.

The fact that he has failed to get the viewpoint of the trade unionist does him no credit. Like Judge Higgins, Mr. Hugh Lusk of New Zealand, who is the United States about fifteen years ago in an evening lecture condemned arbitration. When he came again some years later he had revised his judgment. Judge Higgins may see fit to remain longer, and if he does he will revise his modern rifle epigram.

The opinions and theories of such men as Judge Higgins are seized upon by magazine and "up-to-date" thinkers because of their literary usefulness. They know nothing of the real lives and problems of the workers, but of course they mean well they hinder the cause of the workers.

America's workers prefer the strike, or better still the right to strike, because it stands for freedom and the right to work out their own welfare, because it is the necessary safeguard of free workers who cannot entrust a governmental agencies the right to determine when and how they shall work or consign them to prison. The wage boards are not established in the shops and in the mines, then the workers cannot be free. The strike is the symbol of industrial freedom. America's workers refuse to exchange it for any one of the many forms of compulsion. President Gompers, in American Federationist.

COUNT.

By George Matthew Adams.

If there is any pure luck in the world or if it ever really does figure in the summing up of things, here's when it figures biggest—on the day that you find yourself in the right place to win in it. Lucky you are then—for you—count. The world must have you.

Be somebody in the crowd—count.

No man ever counts until he assumes responsibility. Responsibility demands the work of the brain and heart. These two, working together, breed ideas. Then results begin to show. And results make you count.

Be somebody in the crowd—count.

People who are useful always count. So if you want to count—if you want to be singled out and justly lauded, think of the most useful service possible for you to render. Then get busy in doing it. The man at his job, doing it as best he can, is sure to count.

Be somebody in the crowd—count.

Nothing stirs and inspires more than to have it said that you are somebody and that—you count. Whether you are a creator, a builder, a producer. Any one is justified in congratulating himself if he does things—if he really counts.

Be somebody in the crowd—count.

But don't be so foolish as to be completely satisfied with the results of any work. Growth comes in a large measure by comparison. When you do your work better today than yesterday you realize your genuine capacity and know that there is no actual perfection except the perfection of doing better today than yesterday. Strive for this and you need have no concern as to whether or not you will count. You will.

Be somebody in the crowd—count.

LIMITING A DAY'S WORK

Those we are accustomed to call workingmen are a long table to every other kind of men. They are only men, human beings, and "to err is human." But their errors and their liability to err are too often exaggerated. The intentions and efforts of workingmen are not sufficiently understood. Let us briefly criticise them.

A clergyman spoke of "the custom union labor has of limiting a day's work and other dishonest practices." By limiting a day's work, the clergyman referred to the rule existing in certain unions regulating the maximum day's labor.

Labor is governed by laws. The labor union endeavors to study these laws and apply them to labor.

A man can do a certain amount of labor without injury to himself or his fellows. That is a law as settled as the law of gravitation. The employer wants to make all the money he can out of his employes. He does not care for the law just referred to. He is governed by a similar law—the law of his ability to live upon steps in to insist on the superiority of the first law. It will not do this arbitrarily and unreasonably. It presents proof, which the enlightened reality will see is the fact that the well-paid, well-rested, independent worker usually does more in his eight hours than he used to do with twelve or fourteen.

When the labor union made its demand for the shorter work day, it was roundly denounced for dishonesty. But the law of the union has become a common law of society. The eight hour demand should now regarded as the highest honesty and humanity.

But selfishness is insatiable. It spurns the principle of the "square deal." To circumvent the shorter workday, it invented the "driver" device, which put labor to the utmost of endurance. It selected a few swift workmen, paid them extra wages to set the pace for their fellows. These men of unusual skill, getting extra wages, went along well within the limits of their strength, while the others were working themselves to death in order to make a corresponding showing.

It was to stop this unlawful and dishonest practice that the labor union placed a limit on the work of its members.

If the clergyman quoted had been competent to discuss the question of labor, he would have seen in the eight hour day's work not a dishonest practice, but one based on fairness and humanity.

The "driver" device retains its life by the process of "pushing" or "driving" is guilty of the direst dishonesty. He is the man who is to be paid for a day's more, and the laborer has a right to a competent man's wage—a day's labor for a given wage should be.

Clergymen have been known to limit their output. Many a clergyman will preach a sermon a Sunday for a year, they commonly curtail the number of sermons by the employers as well. They do not always work at the utmost of their abilities.

It is the highest kind of honesty for the employers as well as employes to keep well within the limits of their abilities.

The average laborer is as honest as the average clergyman. He is just as conscientious in the study and application of the laws of labor as the clergyman is in the laws of theology.

Well has it been said: "The prosperous classes are having a very painful time indeed in learning that labor is not a thing to be bought and sold at the market rate, that such a thing as the right of the majority really exists. And they find it very hard to learn that the whole old doctrine of human equality is to be taken seriously in matters of business."

Labor unions are performing an important educational function when they drive into the heads of these would-be superiors the fact that labor is becoming actually a republic in which the workingmen shall decide for themselves questions affecting themselves, and in which they shall no longer be guided by the whims or financial interests of would-be "superiors."—Columbus Citizen.

Why Men Join Unions.

It takes but a small amount of investigation to learn why the intelligent worker joins the union.

Not that other hope or promise has the worker in his life of toil? He has no guarantee of steady employment. He has no bonds or stock from which he will derive an income. He has nothing but his daily labor, not even a home except what he obtains in rent by the process of "pushing" or "driving" is guilty of the direst dishonesty. He is the man who is to be paid for a day's more, and the laborer has a right to a competent man's wage—a day's labor for a given wage should be.

Clergymen have been known to limit their output. Many a clergyman will preach a sermon a Sunday for a year, they commonly curtail the number of sermons by the employers as well. They do not always work at the utmost of their abilities.

It is the highest kind of honesty for the employers as well as employes to keep well within the limits of their abilities.

The average laborer is as honest as the average clergyman. He is just as conscientious in the study and application of the laws of labor as the clergyman is in the laws of theology.

Well has it been said: "The prosperous classes are having a very painful time indeed in learning that labor is not a thing to be bought and sold at the market rate, that such a thing as the right of the majority really exists. And they find it very hard to learn that the whole old doctrine of human equality is to be taken seriously in matters of business."

Labor unions are performing an important educational function when they drive into the heads of these would-be superiors the fact that labor is becoming actually a republic in which the workingmen shall decide for themselves questions affecting themselves, and in which they shall no longer be guided by the whims or financial interests of would-be "superiors."—Columbus Citizen.

THE STOCKTON WAR

The organized employers of Stockton have declared for the "open shop." Conditions have been demanded which bar union labor from employment with members of the organized business men of that city.

Those who do not sympathize with union labor find in the general strike one of the most serious objections to any organization and be it noted that union labor never employs the general strike.

The general strike is the pet method of those who would break the unions. The American Alliance and the M. & M. have fall to resort to the general strike when such a movement promises to accomplish the end of making the unions.

When this Stockton incident has been closed and the history of it has been written it will be found that the poor workingmen who have been misled and those who have been imported to break the strike will have suffered more than any one else. They and the public which will have suffered by reason of a general industrial disturbance will be the sufferers.

When in the course of a few months this incident will have been closed Stockton will be a greater union town than it is today. Such crusades against union labor make conditions upon which union labor thrives. There is no labor center on the Pacific Coast where general strikes have not become factors in unions that such war has not been the making of the labor movement in such localities. Unions have not welcomed this strife at Stockton. Union labor wants peace for only in times of industrial peace do the individual members profit from union conditions, but if the Stockton M. & M. must have war, it will certainly get it.—Bakersfield (Cal.) Union Labor Journal.

FREE TEXT BOOKS WIN.

Nashville, Tenn., Sept. 5.—Unionists in this city are pleased over the decision of the county court to continue the free text book system, which has been in force nearly three years.

UNDERLYING PRINCIPLES OF TRADE UNIONISM

After all, the underlying principle of the trade union is the opportunity of employment at desirable wages and under favorable working conditions. There isn't anything terrible in that, is there?

Every decent person thinks who have an independence in wealth, and those who are in business that is a very hard workers, to bring to them more than bare food to get by working for it, wants to work for desirable wages and under favorable working conditions. There are a great many of us. Why?

We, who work, must work at such wages and under such working conditions that the result of our work will pay the interest and dividends on which those who have an independence in wealth are to live without working. Then, must not the result of our work be enough more to supply an income to those in business that they will be willing to work? Surely. No one else works for it. We do it all. That is the system.

No man can even run a grocery business without selling supplies for more than they cost. The profit is a necessary part of what workingmen work for. The grocery man, of all merchants, will usually argue that he is a very hard worker. He isn't. His work would produce nothing. He gathers profit from the work of others. He is a profit-monger. His only business he will employ others to do much of the gathering for him.

The manufacturer in a factory would do little if it depended upon the owner, or those of a company of owners, to operate it. They are not there to do it. They work for for just such concerns. Every one of us gives up a part of the result of his work that he may have employment. The workingman is so mentally stupid that he can not realize this.

No railroad can operate without the workingman. Stock and bond holders of railroads don't work. What they get represents so much of the result of the work of the workingman. So does the railroad magnate will not work. He doesn't. He wouldn't be in the business if he had to work for a living. Neither would the factory owner or the grocerymen.

The only anxiety that a stock or bond holder, the merchant or factory owner, has is the possibility of the workingman laying down his tools and stopping work. We need not fear that. The workingman is the one to look and go to work. It wouldn't be of any consequence to us if he should. There is a great number that he would soon be one of us. He won't be one of us if he can help it. He can avoid it by letting us do the work. He'll let us do it. No fear about that.

There is one thing above all others that those who live and profit by the result of work work done by others don't want the opportunity to work to be in excess of the labor power of workers. Do you ever think of that? They have. If such a condition should exist, they don't profit—not much. They must meet such condition comes and becomes known to us in its economic significance, they would be compelled to join us and work. We wouldn't give anything for the privilege of working. It doesn't require a great deal of reasoning to come to that conclusion. Then, under the present system, the struggle is on to control the opportunity to work. Those who employ men for profit—and no one employs men for the fun of the thing—will so far control the privilege of workingmen to work as it is possible for them to do so. Don't believe anyone who tells you differently. It is for those who are not compelled to work for wages to avoid it.

Don't believe that the steel trust wants to bid against another employer when it wants to hire a few hundred or a few thousand men. It doesn't want any such condition. Neither does the other company. It wants profit—profit—profit—something in excess of what it pays for labor. Business concerns won't stand for it. Business interests are organized against it. A business man who has to bid against another for a purchasing concern as cheaply as the most expert general manager. The business of specialists, of specialization, of the cash system supplies the rail. That's all there is to it.

But it is different with labor. There is one steel trust that fixes the price of all rails. In other words, there is a code of business ethics that govern the price of material. But the price of labor, disorganized, comprehended, governed by no business code. It offers the only scrambling ground on which the expert manager can show his value above the inexperienced schoolboy.

Trade and labor unions are the natural and primary products of the labor-field scramble. It is the only natural resistance against palpable dependence.

The wage-earner, standing in the midst of an army of unorganized unemployed, seething in the mad rush for a job, sibling and flowing with the tide as it is pulsated by the cautious draft, on the industrial standstills, can one or more be ejected from physical inspection and, oftentime, medical examination, for the profit block. That one has nowhere feels himself a pitiable tarnish upon the burnished stones to the dignity of labor. But all are the same.

Is it singular that there is such a thing as wage-earners getting together and trying to make employment meant to them? That getting together is unionism.

Unionism, in its fullest hope, sees the man past the age of employment existing at ease on the comfortable acreages of the land of labor. Unionism is the inspiration for confidence in the future. Unionism is the only support for the wage-earner's old age. Unionism is the only institution through which the wage-earner can safely approach an employer in relation to wages. Unionism is the sale of his labor. Unionism affords the only standardization of labor.

Unionism is the labor movement—the united conclusion of all labor that it is to the best interest of the individual working—wage-earner that there shall have the opportunity to work at creditable wages and under creditable conditions as far as it is possible to secure them. Anything that is unreasonable, unjust, or un-American about unionism? Call it what you may, associated labor, with that idea in view, is not only, styles itself the trade or labor union.

No matter what side issues may creep in, the sole purpose of the labor union is to secure the employment of and the supply of labor, that all who are compelled to work as wage-earners shall have the opportunity to work at all times at a wage return that will insure the least of them a competence for old age and a home in which to enjoy it. Isn't so bad, is it? Well, that is the labor union—Organized Labor, San Francisco.

MARCH WITH EVENTS.

There is nothing more pitiful than to see a people to block events, sure to happen, or laughing at their onward march, sneering at their unimportance. The only people really worth while are those who march with events—like obedient soldiers.

You can't block time. It will come and it will go and no man can stay, for a second, its onward march. Nor can anybody even remotely hope to keep today and today's usages longer than for today. The wise man is he who studies, catch the tune and keep your step.

The event must be interpreted as you walk your way, but there will be need for generosity, there will be a demand for tolerance, there will be a need for people be respect for the opinions of the masses.

Get into the spirit of events. Learn to interpret them as you would interpret the thought of a great man. Know how to become the important from the unimportant.

March with events.—Exchange.

Decision and Energy.

For success in life it is essential that we should be able to form a clear notion as to the object and desires to be attained. There should be a clear conception of the means by which the object is to be established. The business of life, in whatever pursuit it may be directed, is a great work. And this, as in all other undertakings, it is important in the outset to have a clear conception of what is to be done. This is the first thing to be settled. The only rule for determining this is a sound ability and correct judgment, or suitableness for the particular business selected. The decision of this point requires the most mature consideration, guided by the soundest principles of a public nature, let us inquire into what are the real requisites for those enterprises which employers call their very "own."

Take a team owning or transfer business and suppose that a man has invested in horses of teams, vehicles, harness, barns, etc., amounts to $50,000. And here again we may say that nearly that amount of capital itself may have originated in the wrongful retention of wages of labor unpaid for services rendered. Suppose it is rightfully the property of the team owners and that it yields them a profit of $2,500 a year. This $2,500 a day amounts to 5 per cent income on an investment of $50,000. Now, this 5 per cent. investment by the 100 teamsters of $125,000, or two and one-half times the investment of the employers. Each of the 100 teamsters, through their union, are not insisting upon a proportionate control of the business, but they are insisting upon a control sufficient to enable them through the union shop to bargain their services collectively with the owners. It is a fact that this is just what occurs to the nominal owners, or employers, in their negotiations with the union, for they make no objection whatever. For example, the bank supplying the employers with capital for their business represents merely the collective bargaining of a number of stockholders and depositors. Where does the bank get its union? Moreover, the bank will emphatically insist on exercising a control over the business of the employers to which it loans money. It will insist that the business be conducted along certain standardized business lines, that the credit it extends will be on obligations it undertakes shall be limited, etc.

Certainly no team owner fails to realize that he is dependent upon the banks in his own business in those particulars where the insurance company is interested. Take, for example, in the instance likewise of the "closed" shop the insurance company. The insurance company exempts its employes or customers may do certain things about the premises, and there are regulations for the constantly daily observance of the "owners," terms of which are set forth in the insurance policy. The policy of union seeks to do through the shop or closed shop.

Neither the bank or insurance company will countenance the teaming business of the employers, but they will insist upon the observance of certain conditions that will be to the interest of the employers. Why, then, should not the employers insist upon the observance of certain conditions that will be to the interest of the employers? Why

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR
WEEKLY NEWS LETTER

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 1914.

Entered as second-class matter, September 10, 1912, at the Post-office at Washington, D. C., under the act of August 24, 1912.

Issued from the Headquarters of the American Federation of Labor, Ouray Building, 901-909 G Street Northwest, Washington, D. C.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR.

SAMUEL GOMPERS ..... Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... President
JAMES DUNCAN ..... Hancock Building, Quincy, Mass. ..... First Vice President
JAMES O'CONNELL ..... 512 Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... Second Vice President
D. A. HAYES ..... 920 Witherspoon Building, Philadelphia, Pa. ..... Third Vice President
JOSEPH F. VALENTINE ..... Commercial Tribune Building, Cincinnati, Ohio. ..... Fourth Vice President
JOHN R. ALPINE ..... 401-6 Bush Temple of Music, Chicago, Ill. ..... Fifth Vice President
H. B. PERHAM ..... Star Building, St. Louis, Mo. ..... Sixth Vice President
FRANK DUFFY ..... Carpenters' Building, Indianapolis, Ind. ..... Seventh Vice President
JOHN B. LENNON ..... Bloomington, Ill. ..... Treasurer
FRANK MORRISON ..... Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... Secretary

BUILDING TRADES DEPARTMENT.

THOMAS J. WILLIAMS ..... 412 Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... President
WILLIAM J. SPENCER ..... 412 Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... Secretary-Treasurer
EXECUTIVE COUNCIL—Asbestos Workers—Jos. A. Mullaney, 516 McDonough St., Brooklyn, N. Y. Bridge and Structural Iron Workers—F. M. Ryan, 425 American Central Life Bldg., Indianapolis. Cement Workers—F. C. Degenhack, 646 W. 67th Blvd., Chicago. Electrical Workers—F. J. McNulty, 406 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, Ill. Elevator Constructors—Frank Feeney, 418 Perry Bldg., Philadelphia. Engineers—Jas. G. Hanneban, 6234 Yale Ave., Chicago. Hod Carriers—D. D'Alessandro, Box 597, Albany, N. Y. Lathers—Wm. J. McGuire, 101 Superior Bldg., Cleveland. Marble Workers—W. J. Johnston, McGill Bldg., Washington, D. C. Marble Workers—W. J. Hynes, 407 Nelson Bldg., Kansas City, Mo. Metal Workers—John J. Hynes, 407 Nelson Bldg., Kansas City, Mo. Painters—George F. Hedrick, P. O. Drawer 99, Lafayette, Ind. Plasterers—Edw. J. McGivern, 1414 Blue Hill Ave., Boston, Mass. Plumbers—John R. Alpine, Bush Temple of Music, Chicago. Composition Roofers—Jeremiah J. Hurley, 413 So. Broadway, St. Louis, Mo. Slate and Tile Roofers—J. M. Gavlak, 3643 W. 47th St., Cleveland. Stonecutters—Sol. Gringas, 823 American Central Life Bldg., Indianapolis. Tile Layers—Thos. J. Murphy, 511 E. 86th St., New York, N. Y.

METAL TRADES DEPARTMENT.

JAMES O'CONNELL ..... 512 Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... President
JAMES W. KLINE ..... 1270-86 Monon Building, Chicago, Ill. ..... First Vice President
JOSEPH A. FRANKLIN ..... 7-12 Law Building, Kansas City, Kan. ..... Second Vice President
T. M. DALY ..... Neave Building, Cincinnati, Ohio. ..... Third Vice President
JOHN R. ALPINE ..... 401-6 Bush Temple of Music, Chicago, Ill. ..... Fourth Vice President
J. J. HYNES ..... 407 Nelson Building, Kansas City, Mo. ..... Fifth Vice President
JAMES WILSON ..... 506-8 Second National Bank Building, Cincinnati, Ohio. ..... Sixth Vice President
A. J. BERRES ..... 512 Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... Secretary-Treasurer

UNION LABEL TRADES DEPARTMENT.

JOHN F. TOBIN ..... 246 Summer Street, Boston, Mass. ..... President
J. W. HAYS ..... Newton Claypool Building, Indianapolis, Ind. ..... First Vice President
JACOB FISCHER ..... 222 East Michigan Street, Indianapolis, Ind. ..... Second Vice President
THOMAS A. RICKERT ..... Room 324, 219 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill. ..... Third Vice President
A. McANDREW ..... 58-63 American National Bank Building, Louisville, Ky. ..... Fourth Vice President
MATTHEW WOLL ..... 6111 Bishop Street, Chicago, Ill. ..... Fifth Vice President
THOMAS F. TRACY ..... 708 Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... Secretary-Treasurer

RAILROAD DEPARTMENT.

A. O. WHARTON ..... 201 Sawyer Building, St. Louis, Mo. ..... President
GEORGE W. PRING ..... 679 Eighteenth Street, Des Moines, Iowa. ..... Vice President
JOHN SCOTT ..... Suite 5-6, Ohio Building, St. Louis, Mo. ..... Secretary-Treasurer

MINING DEPARTMENT.

JAMES LORD ..... 606 Ouray Building, Washington, D. C. ..... President
ERNEST MILLS ..... 605 Railroad Building, Denver, Colo. ..... Secretary-Treasurer

A WORLD FEDERATION IS NO DREAM.

Had the nations of the world indorsed the naval holiday policy proposed by Winston Churchill, the first Lord of the Admiralty of England, and urged by the American labor movement, there would have been set up a tendency to counteract the eternal preparedness for war which is an incentive to unnecessary conflict. But the war lords were in the saddle. They were planning the destruction of forces that were democratizing the laws and governments.

In Germany the working people of Prussia were conducting a systematic campaign for free speech. They were insisting upon democratic principles in the Reichstag. Russia was confronted by open manifestation of discontent among her working people. The Cossacks were suppressing strikes for greater freedom. In Austria-Hungary a movement was developing for the purpose of securing the working people the right of association and of the free exercise of activities to better their conditions. Large accessions had been made to the labor representations in the national legislatures. In England social insurance and home rule for Ireland had been accomplished, demonstrating the constant tendency toward further democratization of British institutions. Autocratic government sought to overwhelm these democratic movements by international war.

But reactionary autocracy cannot permanently stay progress. The peoples of Europe will emerge from the carnage and the smoke of battle with renewed determination to establish principles and institutions that are in harmony with industrial, political, and social development. This war will constitute a more urgent reason to destroy monarchical institutions, autocratic power, and to abolish militarism—a reason forced upon consciousness of all by maimed and dead bodies of fathers and sons, husbands and brothers, by the starved undersevelopment of women and children, and by terrible desolation brooding over the continent like an evil spirit. War was ever brutal, but it has been made a thousandfold more so by inventions and modern skill.

The time to avert war is the time of peace by educating the peoples to the horrors and futility of war, and by creating a universal demand for international peace and for political agencies to perpetuate it. Such effort will bring about that day of which Victor Hugo wrote:

"A day will come when the only battlefield will be the market open to commerce and the mind opening to new ideas. A day will come when bullets and bombshells will be replaced by votes, by the universal suffrage of nations, by their venerable arbitration, by their sovereign senate, which will be to Europe what the Parliament is to England, what the Diet is to Germany, what the Legislative Assembly is to France. A day will come when a cannon will be exhibited in public museums, just as an instrument of torture is now, and people will be astonished how such things could have been. A day will come when these two immense groups, the United States of America and the United States of Europe, shall be seen placed in presence of each other, extending the hand of fellowship across the ocean."

A world federation is no idle dream. In the olden times individuals depended upon brute force and swords to enforce their claims to justice. Newer and better ideals supplanted primitive methods by institutions established to secure to all society such protection and justice as accord with the most intelligent concepts. The time has now come for establishing permanent political institutions which shall stand for peace and justice between nations. Such institutions would be a big step toward the abolition of war. There is developing an international morality that will be satisfied with nothing less than world federation.

For the accomplishment of this great ideal, the establishment of justice in all the relations of all people, no power is so potent as the organized labor movement of the universe. When the working people finally determine that international war shall cease the world will be forever rid of fratricidal strife.

Then and then only will be realized the ideal of William Lloyd Garrison: "My country is the world; my countrymen are all mankind. —President Gompers, in the American Federationist.

"Workers Cannot Stop Advancing," Says President Gompers; New York State Unionists Hear Story of Toilers' Gains

President Gompers was given an ovation by the delegates to the recent New York State Federation of Labor, after a spirited speech in which the A. F. of L. executive told of the onward march of organized workers. He complimented President Wilson in requesting the American people to maintain a neutral position on European affairs, and while expressing labor's well known position on the horrors of war, the unionist made this pertinent declaration:

"We, in this country, are looking for an unparalleled era of prosperity as a result of the war. I am sorry that it has to come at the expense of others. But when this prosperity comes, the workers must share in the profits. Surely it must not be a one-sided prosperity. No other result will satisfy us. The great labor movement must go on. We couldn't stop if we wanted to."

Other portions of President Gompers' speech, follow:

"The history of toil is just emerging through the haze of the ages.

"The people are just beginning to learn the details of the misery and privation suffered by the toiling masses in the struggles of the past. In history it would appear that war and struggle, of one kind or another, supremacy and aggrandizement was all there was of these great struggles. We read only of the surface of things. The face and it is just becoming known that back of these battles was the constant struggle of infants and babes to throw off the yolk of oppression. Beginning with the serfs, then the reformation, then our own struggle for liberty with its declaration of independence, later the civil war with its battle for the freedom of the slaves, and next the war with Spain and its object the freeing of Cuba from the tyranny of a king. All of these were struggles of the masses for a brighter and a better day. So it is in labor's battles. Like the wars of the past, it is a rough hard struggle, but when it is done, the progress of the race and the nobility of the cause and its achievement will be inscribed in the memories of men.

"No move in all history compares in immensity in the upward reach for humanity as the movement for the eight hours. It is not a battle for conquest but one for the unfolding and development of the best there is in the human race and the greater benefit of society. We ask now for an opportunity to make still better conditions and better lives. We labor that we may not only have just the bare necessaries of life, but also an opportunity to secure for ourselves and those who come after us the highest development and the opportunity that we may better exercise our sovereign rights as citizens of this republic in which all men are equal, theoretically, at least.

"Our laws of protection, those that safeguard us from machinery, the laws compelling sanitation in the workshop and those that save us from the ravages of organized workers. He complimented President Wilson in requesting the American people to maintain a neutral position on European affairs, and while expressing labor's well known position on the horrors of war, the unionist made this pertinent declaration:

"We, in this country, are looking for an unparalleled era of prosperity as a result of the war. I am sorry that it has to come at the expense of others. But when this prosperity comes, the workers must share in the profits. Surely it must not be a one-sided prosperity. No other result will satisfy us. The great labor movement must go on. We couldn't stop if we wanted to."

Other portions of President Gompers' speech, follow:

"The history of toil is just emerging through the haze of the ages.

"The people are just beginning to learn the details of the misery and privation suffered by the toiling masses in the struggles of the past. In history it would appear that war and struggle, of one kind or another, supremacy and aggrandizement was all there was of these great struggles. We read only of the surface of things. The face and it is just becoming known that back of these battles was the constant struggle of infants and babes to throw off the yolk of oppression. Beginning with the serfs, then the reformation, then our own struggle for liberty with its declaration of independence, later the civil war with its battle for the freedom of the slaves, and next the war with Spain and its object the freeing of Cuba from the tyranny of a king. All of these were struggles of the masses for a brighter and a better day. So it is in labor's battles. Like the wars of the past, it is a rough hard struggle, but when it is done, the progress of the race and the nobility of the cause and its achievement will be inscribed in the memories of men.

"No move in all history compares in immensity in the upward reach for humanity as the movement for the eight hours. It is not a battle for conquest but one for the unfolding and development of the best there is in the human race and the greater benefit of society. We ask now for an opportunity to make still better conditions and better lives. We labor that we may not only have just the bare necessaries of life, but also an opportunity to secure for ourselves and those who come after us the highest development and the opportunity that we may better exercise our sovereign rights

A VERDICT THAT SURPRISED NO ONE

Will those people who are surprised because the report of the militiamen's kangaroo court-martial exonerated all the militiamen please stand up and be counted?

What: None of you rise to your feet; none of you are surprised? Well, neither are we!

In fact, the Free Press all along predicted just what would be the outcome of this silly farce. The courtmartial cost something like $30,000, and the expense is still piling up because many of the defendants and witnesses are still being housed at Ludlow at the state's expense. But what is a little matter of 120,000 among friends, especially when it is only taxpayers' money?

And you know the militiamen are all friends. They have fought and bled for the same stick. They must all stand to it and protect the other crook and thug so that they, too, may be protected in their own day of stress.

According to the kangaroo court's report the testimony of Lindley was wholly justified from the militiaman's standpoint. It is perfectly proper for men, armed to the teeth and backed by machine guns, to attack, shoot, burn and suffocate helpless women and children.

The militiamen have said as much in his report and the fact that no one is surprised shows that no one expected anything else from the militiaman, and incidentally proves that he has fallen pretty far in the estimation of unpartisan figure in the affairs of Colorado.

The militiaman also discovered that Lieutenant Linderfelt, another one of the militiaman but really a 44-caliber thug and gunman, was quite justified in breaking his rifle over the head of Louis Tikas on that terrible day when Ludlow went up in flame and smoke and the militiaman went down in dishonor and disgrace.

Tikas, the report holds, applied some name to Linderfelt, angering the doughty man wielding the rifle. Linderfelt evidently felt anything which Linderfelt is not, then the dead Greek must have discovered some word which died with him for that name or word is not known to the living.

But this action the report does not deal with the brutal and wanton murder of Lieutenant Linderfelt after they were taken prisoners on the application to the rules of the fugitive law, a barbarous custom which should shock the finer susceptibilities of a Fiji Islander, but which seems to suit the Colorado militiaman very well, judging by what has happens to the one who is applying the savage code.

The report was never intended to whitewash Linderfelt as an unmitigated murder. It was only expected to cope with mighty subjects such as where a prisoner had the harshness and temerity to shriek impolitely to his august captor.

Even Linderfelt himself did not expect exoneration. It will be recalled that he plead guilty to certain of the charges against him. But then the militiaman doubtless thought that while they were spreading the whitewash they might as well daub some on him. They might be in need of it some day themselves and it is well to have friends, you know.

The astounding part of it is that no one is surprised. It was all expected. But even more astounding than that is the fact that the militiaman still takes himself seriously. Instead of getting what he should take himself and his farcical but highly expensive reports into that oblivion which has been yawning for him ever since that awful Monday at Ludlow—Trinidad (Col.) Free Press.

Boilermakers Retire Old Official; Life Annuity to Faithful Unionist

After more than a score of years in the office International Secretary-Treasurer Gilthorpe has been retired and Brother Frank Reinemer elected to fill the office at Chicago selected to succeed him. Immediately upon the announcement of the result of the vote for secretary-treasurer the following preamble and resolution was adopted:

"Whereas, our retiring secretary-treasurer has held the position so long and acceptably to our organization, and having grown old in service; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That Brother Gilthorpe be retired on an annuity of twelve hundred dollars per year, on condition that he does not take up any business pursuit."

Therefore, he can now take it easy and enjoy a long rest.

Organized labor is often charged with ingratitude, but it cannot be justly charged with this offense in this case, because the generous action of the boilermakers would be a conclusive refutation of such a charge, and it is most gratifying to see this generous loyalty to one who has been in their service for so long a period. In addition to the pension the convention set out a good example for others to follow, but likewise reflects much credit upon organized labor, and it will place our organization in a favorable light before the public. The Journal wishes Brother Gilthorpe many years in which to enjoy the honors and emoluments.

The new secretary-treasurer, Brother Reinemeyer, comes to us well recommended as a steady, level-headed young man of sterling qualities and good business abilities, and will no doubt give a good account of himself as he has held many offices of trust in our organization, and when elected secretary-treasurer was secretary-treasurer of District 15, which includes the Chicago and Northwestern railroad system, and also corresponding and financial secretary of Lodge 27 of Chicago.—Boilermakers Journal.

PERSISTENCE IS NECESSARY

Of all mental attributes, persistence seems to be the most valuable and the most infrequent.

Individuals and masses succeed in proportion as they are persistent.

There is not a wide enough difference between capacity, intentions, motives and desires to fully explain why one person gets up and another does not.

For my part, I believe that most men are honest and well-meaning, we can only believe that as between the relatively good and the relatively bad, the only real fact that the former persisted and the latter didn't.

High salaries are paid to those who first demonstrate through personal persistence to steadily do away with the need of a superior to constantly direct their energies. Promotion comes, but only to those who, without definite prospect, persisted in preparing themselves for something higher—and then waited.

When anyone believes that it is useless to try for the better, he deliberately puts himself in the position of having to say, voluntarily or involuntarily, for the worse.

Persistence has many foes. Some of them are obstacles and discouragements. Others are trifling temptations, small conceits and empty flatteries.

A great danger to the individual is that frequently he is so pleased with himself over doing one piece of good work that he is ever after content to abandon his sincerity to do the obscure drudgery demanded by a task of vastly more importance. He has proved capacity by the first, but he wants continued applause and honor without giving a continuing reason for them.

This is true of groups. When one object has been attained, one part of the big program has succeeded, there comes on a period of inertia. They are very good fighters for a time. They scare the enemy, and he makes overtures to them. Then they think they are safe and they disband—and let the ranks of the foe be firmly strengthened while they grow weak.—Rochester Labor Journal.

URGE CHILD LABOR LAW.

Philadelphia, Sept. 5.—In a speech favoring equal suffrage, Mrs. Minnie H. Brooke flayed the exploiters of child labor and urged the enactment of a Federal law that will prohibit the employment of children under sixteen years. She said child labor breeds poverty and is a menace to civilization. After asserting that 15,000 children under sixteen were working in this city, the speaker presented an array of figures gathered in various Southern States.

"In New Jersey and Maryland berry fields," said Mrs. Brooke, "children five years old and up are at work. In the cotton mills of the South children eight, nine and ten years old slave for sixty-nine hours a week."

REASON FOR STATE POLICE

Erie, Pa., Sept. 5.—Writing in the Union Labor Journal, of this city, Ralph Waldo Tillotson answers the plea of the Manufacturers' association that the state police—known as "cossacks"—should be enlarged "to protect the farmer." The writer says:

"They say the farmers need these constabulary for a patrol. They don't say that this force is composed of 48,000 miles of territory, and that one constabulary man can at the most patrol no more than three square miles. This would need an army of constabulary to the number of 16,000 to properly patrol this state. They don't mention the $2,000,000 their annual cost of maintenance is $250,000. To properly patrol this state would need $4,000 men and $8,275,000 annually, at the present rate of expense. But behold, the manufacturers do not want to completely and properly patrol the state, but they want an increase in the number of the constabulary to at least 500, and at most 1,000. Now their reason becomes plain as the sun of day. They remember the fact of history that the last time the state militia was on strike duty, Hazleton, 1902, it coat the taxpayers $1,000,000 for eight weeks' service. No wonder the manufacturers want more constabulary! The taxpayers are kicked about using so much public money to crush strikes. So that in with the cossacks is created an Harrisburg, and since they are trained, professional, hardened brutes, and the regular state militia is kept entirely from the ranks of labor, and too often were sympathetic with a strike and wouldn't shoot down their fellow workmen, you know, why, of course, the cossacks came into the row with the manufacturers of the state."

PROVIDE MINE RESCUE CAR.

Washington, Sept. 5.—The Senate, sitting as in committee of the whole, has agreed to the bill authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to purchase and equip a car for mine rescue and first aid to mine safety work in the Southwestern coal fields, with headquarters at Fort Smith, Ark. The bill carries $40,000 to be appropriated, and $16,500 is appropriated for the maintenance and operation of the car during 1915.

AMERICAN FEDERATION OF LABOR

A Few of Its Declarations Upon Which It Appeals to All Working People to Organize, Unite, Federate, and Cement the Bonds of Fraternity.

1. The abolition of all forms of involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime.
2. Free schools. Free text-books, and compulsory education.
3. Unrelenting protest against the issuance and abuse of injunction process in labor disputes.
4. A workday of not more than eight hours in the twenty-four-hour day.
5. A strict recognition of not over eight hours per day on all Federal, State, or municipal work and at not less than the prevailing per diem wage rate of the class of employment in the vicinity where the work is performed.
6. Release from employment one day in seven.
7. The abolition of the contract system on public work.
8. The municipal ownership of public utilities.
9. The abolition of the sweat-shop system.
10. Sanitary inspection of factory, workshop, mine, and home.
11. Liability of employers for injury to body or loss of life.
12. The nationalization of telegraph and telephone.
13. The passage of anti-child labor laws in States where they do not exist and rigid defense of them where they have been enacted into law.
14. Woman suffrage coequal with man suffrage.
15. Suitable and plentiful playgrounds for children in all cities.
16. The initiative and referendum and the imperative mandate and right of recall.
17. Continued agitation for the public bath system in all cities.
18. Qualifications in permits to build, of all cities and towns, that there shall be bathrooms and bathroom attachments in all houses or compartments used for habitation.
19. We favor a system of finance whereby money shall be issued exclusively by the Government, with such regulations and restrictions as will protect it from manipulation by the banking interest for their own private gain.
20. We favor a system of United States Government postal savings banks.

The above is a partial statement of the demands which organized labor, in the interests of the workers—aye, of all the people of our country—makes upon modern society.

Higher wages, shorter workday, better labor conditions, better homes, better and safer workshops, factories, mills, and mines. In a word, a better, higher, and nobler life.

Conscious of the justice, wisdom, and nobility of our cause, the American Federation of Labor appeals to all men and women of labor to join with its international and national unions.

We have 1,750 volunteer and special organizers, as well as the officers of the unions and of the American Federation of Labor itself, always willing and anxious to aid their fellow-workmen to organize and in every other way to better their conditions.

For information all are invited to write to the American Federation of Labor headquarters at Washington, D. C.

Wage-workers of America, unite!

WANT NEW LAWS FOR KANSAS

Topeka, Kan., Sept. 5.—Unionists in this state are preparing to urge their legislative program before the next session of the legislature. The demands were agreed to at the recent convention of the State Federation of Labor, and are as follows:

The initiative and referendum, as declared for by the Seattle convention of the American Federation of Labor.

The recall, as declared for by the Seattle convention of the American Federation of Labor.

A widow's pension or compensation law.

Maximum hours law for women workers.

Will favor amending the workmen's compensation law to provide for hospital and medical attendance to relieve the waiting period and to provide the insurance feature under state supervision, similar to a bank, with a board of awards for proper administration of the act.

The enactment of a law giving the bona fide labor unions the right to select the heads of the labor departments, the same as the heads of the agricultural and horticultural departments are selected in Kansas—that is, by those directly interested, thus divorcing the labor departments from party politics.

WAGES BY LAW DON'T WORK

Toronto, Can., Sept. 5.—The establishment of a wage rate by law seems an easy solution of this vexed question, but when not tested has often been found unsatisfactory. However, that is where it is likely to end until the time comes to order another investigation to find out again. The contractors continue to pay the same old wage as heretofore, and from appearances it looks as if they had the government where they hair long, and on a down-grade yank.

It is hard to wonder that the carpenters on the job have grown sarcastic of these official investigations by the fair maple sheriff that all end the same way, and find that the wicked and naughty contractors are consistently growing richer at their employes' expense and pocketing the extra profits that of right belong to the workers.

When will we become free grace from the minister of labor and the officials of his department to own up that for some reason or other they either cannot or will not compel the contractors to live up to the provisions in their contracts.

Los Angeles, Sept. 5.—Ladies' Tailors' union, No. 52, has signed up another shop, which makes a total of six within the last few weeks. Keep it up.

Education's Value.

Education is what fits a person to fill the positions of life. The workers of the nations are sending forth their children for education for themselves and their children. The big employers are endeavoring to grind down the workers with illiteracy so they will not be prepared to fight life's battles, and as a consequence the toilers will not be in a position to do battle. Long hours and starvation wages is what the greedy employer wishes to thrust upon the employes. Members of organized labor are determined they shall not give up the fight in behalf of humanity.—San Diego Labor Leader.

WAR AND THE INTERESTS OF LABOR

Some months ago the War Department issued in pamphlet form an address by Prof. A. B. Johnson, formerly chairman of President Taft's tariff board and prior to that a professor of economics in Yale College, delivered before army officers, making a defense for war from the point of view of economics. To a mind perhaps hardly open on that question it seemed that the reasoning from all the usual scraps of argument that could be microscopically detected on the subject was agreed to the bill, authorizing the Secretary of the Interior to purchase and equip a car for mine rescue and first aid to mine safety work in the Southwestern coal fields, with headquarters at Fort Smith, Ark. The bill carries $40,000 to be appropriated, and $16,500 is appropriated for the maintenance and operation of the car during 1915.

New countries could be opened, with their cheap public land. But today all land in the land even of China and Africa worth a good price is in private hands. If railroads are constructed in China, for example, the work will be done by native labor. Foreign capitalists will be benefited, but not foreign labor. "Colonial exploitation," says Prof. Johnson, "however much it may benefit certain members of the property-holding class, can hardly fail to be a disadvantage, both material and moral, to the working class." If the trade of the new colony is closed by high tariffs to foreign competition, home labor will thereby be benefited, but it is questionable whether a closed colonial trade is worth as much to labor as is lost by the consequent neglecting of the open general trade.

It is questionable, too, whether that the highly technical character and mechanism of modern war close the road to the ranks to the common soldier and thereby depriving the working man who enlists for war of one of the greatest advantages that in former ages war conferred upon the ordinary man's mind. Our modern wars, though many common soldiers such distinction for life as could hardly have been won in times of peace, have, nevertheless won for a man of the people was a stimulus to thousands. It is true that for one who rises to distinction there are many who, in life, there were a hundred thousand who came back as they went out, common soldiers. But promotion becomes possible as war becomes more scientific and technical. So the working class soldier who rises to the position of command is destined eventually to take his place alongside the mythical wandering youth elevated by freak of fortune to a kingdom.

While the rewards of war to the workingmen have largely gone glimmering, the perils of war have increased as scientific invention and skill it ever deadly. The romance of war has passed away and war has become a business, on strictly scientific, engineering basis. The costs and taxes of war increase in value to the capitalists, and not only the workingman who enlists exposes himself to greater danger, but the workingman at home bears a heavier burden in taxation to carry on a more costly war than in ancient times could have been conceived. As war has become a business and an art, and romance and adventure have largely departed from it, romance and adventure in industry have taken their place. Great engineering works in the remote places of the world, the widely expanding trade of the world, interpreting the resources and forest regions of unexplored continents, the increase of travel and the industrial development of the world thereby has made adventure and romance, such as used to be sought in war.

The industrial world needs why labor should oppose war is in the fact that the effect of war in diverting the mind of the people from the struggle for democracy is to delay the solution of the labor problem, and in many cases to push back and keep it down. Prof. Johnson very truly says that "of the two systems of assorted values, that of the employer is the more intelligible to the general public." "The laborer's system of rights is something new in the world's history, and not readily understood." Nothing is truer. Indeed, labor is for change, he therefore introduces a new standard, arouses no sympathy, until trained to a new point of view. Hence the opposition to anything labor, when first heard, is declared to be iniquitous, unjust, wrong and anarchistic. Labor has had to fight this battle time and time again, and it is now a matter of number, but for a few notable ones there are the strike, the collective bargain for wages, the direct appeal to the state against the labor injunction. Peace at home and abroad is absolutely necessary to working out labor problems. War sets this movement back, and the workingman is inestimably more and more losing his face against war and to favor of the international peace movement, of the establishment of arbitration and of treaties. From the labor point of view war is the most dreadful defense of wages.—Topeka Daily Capital.